

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 19

RECEIVED

MAILED

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 19 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

AUG 23 2004

Bingham McCutchen
IP Docket Dept.BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES*Ex parte RAYMOND GUZMAN, GOUTAM KULKARNI*

and JOYDIP KUNDU

REMANDED

Appeal No. 2004-1060

Application No. 09/476,141

ON BRIEF

Before HARKCOM, *Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge*, WILLIAM F. SMITH and NASE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

*Per Curiam.***REMAND TO THE EXAMINER**

The Office of the Group Director of Technology Center 2100 has requested that this application be remanded to the jurisdiction of the patent examiner so that the issues raised in this appeal can be reconsidered. Accordingly, we remand.

REMANDED
TO EXAMINER

REMANDED -1-

REMANDED -2-

REMANDED -3-

REMANDED -4-

Docket: 241/28375Action: ST-CASEDate Due: 11-1-2004 (M) 08

Appeal No. 2004-1060
Application No. 09/476,141

If reconsideration by the examiner does not promptly result in the withdrawal of all pending rejections, the examiner must return this application to the jurisdiction of the Board so that the appeal may be restored.

REMANDED

**GARY V. HARKCOM, Acting Chief
Administrative Patent Judge**

WILLIAM F. SMITH
Administrative Patent Judge

JEFFREY V. NASE
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT
APPEALS AND
INTERFERENCES

clm .

Appeal No. 2004-1060
Application No. 09/476,141

Bingham, McCutchen LLP
Three Embarcadero, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067

Urgent

Urgent

Urgent